

THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MATH2010D Advanced Calculus 2019-2020

Errata and Remarks for Lecture Notes (Updated on 9 Apr, 2020)

1. Part 1 P.19 Example 3.1: To be precise, $r \geq 0$ and $\theta = \theta_0$ defines a ray.
2. Part 1 P.22: To be more explicit:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Areas of } i\text{-th triangle} &= \frac{1}{2}r_{i+1}r_i \sin(\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}r_{i+1}r_i \sin \Delta\theta \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2}r_i^2 \Delta\theta \end{aligned}$$

We have the last line since $r_{i+1} \approx r_i$ and $\lim_{\Delta\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin \Delta\theta}{\Delta\theta} = 1$ which implies $\sin \Delta\theta \approx \Delta\theta$.

3. Part 1 P. 24: For the change of coordinates $(\rho, \phi, \theta) \rightarrow (x, y, z)$, the last equation should be “ $z = \rho \cos \phi$ ”.
4. Part 2 P.1 Definition 4.2: It should be “
 - (1) \vec{x}_0 is said to be ...
 - (2) \vec{x}_0 is said to be ...
 - (3) \vec{x}_0 is said to be ...
5. Part 2 P.2 Definition 4.4: It should be “ $\vec{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a cluster point of S if for all $r > 0$, $B_r^0(\vec{x}_0) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ ”.
6. Part 2 P.4 Definition 4.6: It should be “ S is said to be **path connected** if ...”.
Generally speaking, path connectedness and connectedness are different in topology. Path connectedness implies connectedness, but the converse is not true. However, any open connected subset S in \mathbb{R}^n is path connected. Therefore, path connectedness and connectedness are equivalent for open subsets in \mathbb{R}^n .
7. Part 2 P.8 Example 5.7: It should be “..., where $A \in M_{3 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, $\vec{x} \in M_{3 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\vec{b} \in M_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{R})$.”
8. Part 3 P.3 Example 6.2: It should be “ $|x| \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta$ ”.
9. Part 3 P.4 Exercise 6.1: It should be “ ϵ - δ definition”. In third line of the proof, it should be “ $|x| \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta$ and $|y| \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \delta$ ”.
10. Part 3 P.6 Proposition 6.2: It should be “ $\lim_{\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}_0} f(\vec{x}) = L$ if and only if ...”.

$$11. \text{ Part 3 P.8 Example 6.8: It should be } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (f \circ \gamma_1)(t) = \dots = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{mt^3}{t^4 + m^2t^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{mt}{t^2 + m^2} = 0.$$

$$12. \text{ Part 4 P.5 Example 8.4: It should be } \dots = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{5}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y + \frac{2}{5}h = \frac{2}{5}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y.$$

13. Part 4 P.5 Definition 8.3: Suppose that $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function, where D is an open subset in \mathbb{R}^n . I only mentioned that all first partial derivatives of f are continuous on D . However, you will see later that all first partial derivatives of f are continuous on D implies that f is a differentiable on D (Theorem 9.2), and then implies f is continuous D (Theorem 9.3).

14. Part 4 P.6 Proof of Theorem 8.1: It should be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(a, b) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{[f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b)] - [f(a, b+k) - f(a, b)]}{h} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{k} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(c_1, b+k) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(c_1, b)}{k} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(c_1, c_2) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(c_1, b) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

15. Part 4 P.7 Exercise 9.1: The third equation should be $\nabla \left(\frac{f}{g} \right) (\vec{x}_0) = \frac{g(\vec{x}_0) \nabla f(\vec{x}_0) - f(\vec{x}_0) \nabla g(\vec{x}_0)}{[g(\vec{x}_0)]^2}$

16. Part 4 P.18 Total Differential: It should be “ $\Delta f \sim \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{x}_0) \Delta x_i$ ” and “ $df = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\vec{x}_0) dx_i$ ”.

17. Part 4 P.19: Please remove the remark “row vector in \mathbb{R}^n ” and in fact, it is a real number.

18. Part 4 P.20 Theorem 9.4: To be precise, we have the following:

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset, let $\vec{x}_0 \in D$ and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

(a) f is differentiable at \vec{x}_0 if and only if each f_i is differentiable at \vec{x}_0 .

(b) Furthermore, if f is differentiable at \vec{x}_0 , then

$$Df(\vec{x}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1(\vec{x}_0) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_m(\vec{x}_0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\vec{x}_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(\vec{x}_0) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(\vec{x}_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}(\vec{x}_0) \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}),$$

which is called total derivative of f at \vec{x}_0 , is the unique matrix such that

$$\lim_{\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}} \frac{|f(\vec{x}_0 + \vec{h}) - (f(\vec{x}_0) + Df(\vec{x}_0) \cdot \vec{h})|}{|\vec{h}|} = 0.$$

19. Part 4 P.21 Example 9.8: It should be “ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos z & -\cos z & -(2x - y + 1) \sin z \\ e^x \sin(2y + z) & 2e^x \cos(2y + z) & e^x \cos(2y + z) \end{bmatrix}$ ”.

20. Part 6 P.5 Example 13.4: It should be “ f is strictly **decreasing** from $(0, 0)$ to $(2, 4)$ ”, “ f is strictly **increasing** from $(2, 4)$ to $(4, 8)$ ” and “Global **min** of $f = -8$ ”. Also, the saddle point is **$(3, 2)$** .”

21. Part 6 P.9 Theorem 14.1: It should be “ γ lies on $L_c(g)$ ”.

More mistakes may be found and more remarks may be added later, so this file will be updated accordingly.